

## **Publishing Ethics Guidelines**

### **Note to Authors**

Papers submitted to the conference should be unpublished original work of authors. They must not be under consideration for publication elsewhere. Any act of plagiarism or self-plagiarism will be unacceptable. The extended abstracts and the final papers will undergo plagiarism check.

Authors must obtain the necessary written permission to include materials that is owned and held in copyright by a third party. This includes text, images, illustration, table, or other material, including data. The use of any such material should be properly cited in all instances.

All individuals who have made a significant contribution to the should be listed as co-authors or acknowledged as appropriate. The extended abstract must provide the definitive list and order of authors. Please carefully consider the list and order of authors, no changes will be allowed once the abstract is accepted.

If the authors have received any financial support at any stage of the research, it should be disclosed and acknowledged.

### **Review Process**

The abstracts and papers submitted to the conference will undergo a two-stage blind peer review process. A Scientific Committee, comprising of senior academicians from various domains and institutions, has been constituted for this purpose. Additionally, track specific Academic Review Committees (ARC's) consisting of academician with domain expertise have been formed. The conference will adhere to a blind review process, wherein the identity of authors will not be disclosed to the reviewers.

In the first stage, extended abstracts will be reviewed. Each abstract will undergo blind review by two ARC members, who will assess the paper's quality across various parameters such as originality, motivation, methodology, contribution to existing research, completeness, etc. using a review form. Based on the recommendations of ARC members, the abstract will be either accepted or rejected for the second stage i.e. full paper submission.

Full papers will be reviewed by two ARC members following a blind review process. At this stage, ARC members will focus on selecting papers for (i) award categories, and (ii) inclusion in the book. Only papers submitted by the deadline will be considered for award categories i.e. 'Best Research Paper Award', and 'Promising Scholar Award'. While the 'Best Research Paper Award' is open to all authors, the 'Best Scholar Award' is specifically for doctoral candidates registered for a PhD at any university in India or abroad. Papers recommended by the ARC will undergo another review by a Scientific Committee member for the final decision. Papers received after the deadline will not be eligible for awards.